

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-3017-2017

Date of decision: 29.8.2017

Ramphal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

By way of the present criminal revision, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 21.7.2017 passed by learned trial Court vide which the charges have been framed against the petitioner under Sections 452 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 4 and 18 of The Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (for short 'Act 2012').

It is contended that no case under Sections 4 and 18 of Act, 2012 is made out against the petitioner. The charges have been wrongly framed against the petitioner. There is total inconsistency in the statement of the prosecutrix recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. and the statement made before the legal aid counsel at the time of counselling the petitioner. He further contends that the prosecutrix refused to undergo medico legal examination.

Heard.