

221

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3009 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 15.9.2017

Bindu Revisionist

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate, for revisionist.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, SDAG Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Photocopy of custody certificate filed in Court today and same is taken on record.

The judgments of both the Courts below shows that the allegations against revisionist are that he alongwith co-accused snatched ear rings from a lady after showing her a datar.

As per the custody certificate, revisionist is not a previous convict nor any other case is pending against him. Photocopy of custody certificate further shows that he has already undergone total sentence of 6 months and 22 days (as on 14.9.2017).

Considering the fact that revisionist has already undergone 6 months and 22 days of total sentence, the substantive sentence of rigorous imprisonment awarded under Section 382 IPC is reduced from one year to rigorous imprisonment for nine months. Remaining part of sentence is maintained.

With the abovenoted modification, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.9.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No