

**228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.2995 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 11, 2017**

Manju **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Chand Ram Olla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. R.K. Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate of the petitioner has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner remained proclaimed offender for little less than two years. She has been convicted by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Hansi, vide judgment of conviction dated 10.06.2015 and order of sentence dated 11.06.2015 and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for one year and to pay a fine of ₹500/- for the commission of offence punishable under Section 174-A IPC. The same was affirmed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar, vide judgment dated 11.07.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the fine imposed upon the petitioner has already been paid. He also states that the petitioner is a lady and prays for leniency in the sentence of imprisonment awarded to her.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that one another case is pending against the petitioner, the substantive sentence of simple imprisonment for one year awarded to her is reduced to six months. However, the remaining part of the sentence shall remain intact.

With the abovenoted modification in the sentence, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 11, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No