

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-390-2016

Date of decision: March 22, 2017.

Dhana Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri A.S. Trikha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Daljeet Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for the State has invited my attention to the order dated 8.2.2017 made by this Court in Crl. Writ Petition No.637 of 2016 and states that the State Govt. would decide the issue in question based on the new policy when as a matter of fact, policy applicable to the petitioner was the old policy. Learned State Counsel states that the petitioner should make a fresh representation and thereafter the State Govt. would decide the same in accordance with the policy applicable to him. I do not agree. Since the application was made by the petitioner earlier, it is the fault of the State Govt. in dealing the same in accordance with the new policy. It is for the State Govt. to consider his application again in accordance with appropriate policy.

In that view of the matter, State Govt. is directed to consider the same representation in accordance with the policy that is applicable to the petitioner and take a decision within one month.

Disposed of.

Copy of this order be given to the State Counsel.

March 22, 2017.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No