

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10336 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 3.4.2017

Mahima

.. Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Palika Monga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal J.

The petitioner has filed the present petition seeking to challenge the acquisition of land, while placing reliance on the provisions of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short, 'the 2013 Act').

Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification dated 31.12.2013, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the 1894 Act'), State of Haryana sought to acquire land situated in villages Farrukhnagar, Sultanpur and Mubarikpur, District Gurgaon. The

same was followed by notification dated 30.12.2014, issued under Section 6 of the 1894 Act.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Rajinder Singh Kanungo, Office of Land Acquisition Officer, Gurugram, submitted that as the administrative approval for announcement of award for the acquired land of the petitioner was not granted by the State, the acquisition has lapsed.

In view of the stand taken by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the prayer made in the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

3.4.2017
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No