

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5760 of 2009

Date of Decision:-14.03.2017.

Rajesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Ms. Kshitija Mittal, Advocate for  
Mr. Pardeep Solath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasmeet Singh Bedi, Additional A.G. Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)**

In the present case, matter relates to entitlement of ex-gratia appointment. Even though, the petitioner's mother submitted application on 13.3.1991 seeking appointment to her son as and when he attained major. The respondents have not taken any action either to accept or reject the claim made by the petitioner's mother as per the Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rules, 2006. Rule 6 of these Rules provides for consideration of pending application in relation to ex-gratia appointment and financial assistance. Even the concerned respondent has not invoked the said provision in order to extend financial benefits to the petitioner or to his mother. Therefore, the petitioner or his mother are directed to make necessary representation to the

competent authority within a period of two weeks from today and if such representation/application is submitted to the competent authority, the competent authority is directed to consider the financial assistance claim under the 2006 Rules and disburse the same within a period of 3 months along with interest @ 6% per annum from the date of issuance of 2006 Rules.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 14, 2017.**  
*sandeep sethi*

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.