

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.2751 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : August 11, 2017**

Kabal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The present revision has been filed against the judgment dated 01.08.2017 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Karnal, affirming the judgment of conviction dated 20.05.2015 and order of sentence dated 21.05.2015 passed by learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal, vide which the petitioner along with co-accused was convicted for the commission of offence punishable under Section 18 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for four months and also sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for four months under Section 42 of the Prisons Act, 1894. Both the sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

The allegations against the petitioner are that on 20.10.2012, he along with his sister Kulwinder Kaur went to meet a prisoner Tehal Singh in District Jail, Karnal and he handed over some vegetable to prisoner Tehal

Singh. On search of vegetables, 10 gms. opium was recovered. On the

complaint made by Sanjeev Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Jail, PW9, the case was registered. Both the Court below have believed the statement of PW5, Ram Niwas, Jail Warder regarding recovery of 10 gms. opium from the vegetables handed over by the petitioner to prisoner Tehal Singh. There is nothing on file to disbelieve the statement of Ram Niwas, Jail Warder, PW5 regarding the said recovery. There are concurrent findings of two courts below. There is no ground to interfere in the same.

As such, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 11, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No