

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 1656 of 2016
Date of Decision: 23.10.2017

Pawan

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

None has appeared for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that petitioner is not entitled to parole as per provisions of Section 2 (aa) (iv) of Haryana Good Conduct Prisoner (Temporary Release) Amendment Act, 2013. By now he has completed period of 4 years 2 months and 20 days of imprisonment after recovery of cell-phone from him. He will become entitled to parole after lapse of period of five years of imprisonment from the date of recovery of cell-phone from him.

Keeping in view submission of learned State counsel, the instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondents to reconsider the case of petitioner for parole as per provisions of Section 2 (aa) (iv) of Haryana Good Conduct Prisoner (Temporary Release) Amendment Act, 2013 at appropriate time.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

October 23, 2017

jk

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No