

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.2714-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.09.2017

Sahil

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.S.S.Siao, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Pawan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

A photocopy of the custody certificate dated 5.9.2017 filed in Court qua the same petitioner in CRR No.2770-2017 is taken on record.

The allegations against the petitioner are that on 8.3.2013, he has snatched the purse of the complainant containing Rs.1000/- and one mobile (Samsung having two nos. i.e. 8395933812 and 9627014530). The petitioner has been held guilty by Principal Magistrate Juvenile Justice Board, Kaithal vide judgment dated 5.8.2014 and ordered to be kept in special home for six months vide dispositional order dated 7.8.2014 under Section 411 IPC read with Section 15(1)(g) of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000. The same was affirmed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal vide judgment dated 6.7.2017. Custody certificate shows that the petitioner is also convicted in another case and has been ordered to be kept in special home for a similar offence for the period

of six months.

It being so, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order on the quantum of sentence.

Resultantly, the present petition stands dismissed.

A request has been made by learned counsel for the petitioner for concurrent running of sentence passed in another case. The same is declined as both the offences were committed on different dates.

05.09.2017

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No