

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 2616 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.10.2017

SURINDER SINGH *Petitioner*
Vs.
HARJINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER *Respondent*

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: None for the petitioner

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Today no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner nor the receipt of deposit of 15% of the cheque amount which was to be deposited with the District Legal Services Authority, Bathinda has been placed on file.

It comes out from the order dated 01.08.2017 passed by this Court in the present revision that the parties have amicably settled the matter and the complainant has received a total sum of ₹1,00,000/-

Keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and also the fact that the petitioner has not deposited the 15% of the total cheque amount with the District Legal Services Authority, Bathinda in compliance of the order dated 01.08.2017 of this Court, while maintaining the conviction under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, the substantive sentence of the petitioner is hereby reduced to the period already undergone by him. In view thereof, judgments of both the Courts below are accordingly modified.

In view of the above, the present revision is disposed of.

October 13, 2017

Suresh Kumar

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	Yes	/	No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	Yes	/	No