

107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 2585 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 7.9.2017

Satish Kumar Revisionist

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Sunita Nambiar, Advocate, for,
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate, for revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Report received from the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurugram that 15% of the cheque amount, amounting to Rs. 75,000/-, has been deposited with the District Legal Service Authority in compliance with the order dated 4.8.2017, passed by this Court.

On the last date of hearing, both the parties have informed this Court that they have entered into a compromise on 15.6.2017.

In view of the fact that both the parties have entered into a compromise and have amicably settled the dispute, the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 12.2.2015, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram, convicting and sentencing the revisionist as well as the judgment dated 18.5.2017, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram, dismissing the appeal, are hereby set aside and revisionist is acquitted of the notice of accusation, served upon him.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.9.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No