

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2448 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 18, 2017

Bhupinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Munish Raj, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

A Bharat Small Arms(P) Ltd. gun bearing No.21634-1983 with its barrel cut was recovered from the possession of the present petitioner on 27.10.2010. The petitioner could not produce the licence for the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the said gun belongs to his father and it was a licensed gun.

However, the father of the petitioner died six years back. Therefore, it becomes clear that it is a not a planted case. The gun belongs to the father of the petitioner. The petitioner was not supposed to cut barrel of the gun and required to deposit the same with the authorities after the death of his father. Thus, there is no illegality or infirmity in the findings recorded by both the courts below. There is no evidence that on the behest of the elder brother of the petitioner this case was planted upon the present petitioner. Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the same.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

July 18, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No