

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 10466 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.11.2017

Smt. Sunder Devi

.. Petitioner

vs

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill**

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop and Mr. Manoj Dhankhar, Assistant
Advocate Generals, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Though challenge in the present writ petition has been made to the acquisition of land where notifications under Section 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the 1894 Act') were issued on 7.7.2011 and 6.7.2012, respectively, however, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner will restrict her claim to the extent of allotment of alternative plot in terms of the policies framed by the State for providing plots under the oustees' quota. He prayed that the directions be given to the State to consider the claim of the petitioner in a time bound manner. The petitioner is owner to the extent of 150 square yards of land.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that in case the petitioner applies for allotment of plot under the oustees' quota, her claim

shall be considered by the competent authority within a period of three months from the date of receipt of any such application.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make representation to the Chief Administrator, HUDA, Rohtak, for allotment of plot under the oustees' quota policy. As and when such an application is received, the competent authority shall decide the same within three months, in terms of the policies framed by the Government.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

13.11.2017
vs

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No