

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1270-2016

Date of decision : 27.02.2017

Vivek Ramachandran

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Harsh Chopra, Advocate
for Mr. Pritpal Singh Nijjar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Munish Jolly, Advocate
for respondent no.4.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the order dated 19.10.2016 passed in this writ petition, interim custody of the child, who was stated to be allegedly in the illegal custody of respondent no.4, had already been granted to the petitioner, with the matter thereafter adjourned to 09.11.2016. Thereafter, the custody of the child is stated to have continued with the petitioner, who has also admitted him to a school in Kolkata.

He further submits that respondent no.4 has now filed a petition seeking custody of the child before a Court in Bengaluru and final custody would be determined by the competent Court.

Thus, he submits, that this petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

It is made clear that any observation made hereinabove, even though only as per the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner, is only for the purpose of this petition seeking a writ to be issued in the nature of habeas corpus, and obviously would have no bearing on the actual right of either party to seek custody of the child.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 27, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No