

102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.2150 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision : July 04, 2017

Kaur Singh alias Chamkaur Singh ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CRM-19519-2017

There is delay of 85 days in filing the present revision petition.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 85 days in filing the present revision petition is condoned, subject to all just exception.

Application stands disposed of.

Main Case

The learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Sangrur, vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 29.08.2016 convicted and sentenced revisionist, namely Kaur Singh alias Chamkaur Singh as under:

| Sr. No. | Offence u/s | Sentence         | Fine     | In default payment of fine |
|---------|-------------|------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| 1       | 465 IPC     | R.I. for 2 years | ₹1,000/- | R.I. for 15 days           |
| 2       | 467 IPC     | R.I. for 2 years | ₹1,000/- | R.I. for 15 days           |
| 3       | 468 IPC     | R.I. for 2 years | ₹1,000/- | R.I. for 15 days           |
| 4       | 471 IPC     | R.I. for 2 years | ₹1,000/- | R.I. for 15 days           |

All the sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

In the appeal, the conviction was not challenged and only prayer was made for releasing the revisionist on probation. However, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sangrur, vide judgment dated 08.12.2016 reduced the sentence of the revisionist on each count from two years to one year, three months.

I have heard learned counsel for the revisionist and have also carefully gone through the case file.

In the present revision petition, the only prayer made is for further concession in the sentence considering that the revisionist has already undergone the sentence of 11 months. In this case, the revisionist used the forged jamabandi to stand surety for the convicts.

Keeping in view the gravity of the offence, I am of the view that already sufficient leniency has been shown by the first appellate court. No further leniency is possible.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 04, 2017**

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned                      Yes

Whether Reportable:                                      No