

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.2132 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 26, 2017**

Vishal Gupta

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mohanbir Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.N. Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurave Bhayya Gilhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CRM-19293-2017

There is delay of 1781 days in filing the present revision petition.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 1781 days in filing the present revision petition is condoned, subject to all just exception.

Application stands disposed of.

Main Case

In compliance with the order dated 19.07.2017 passed by this Court, learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the receipt, showing that the petitioner has deposited ₹12,000/- being 15% of the total of three cheques amount, in terms of the authority of Hon'ble the Supreme Court delivered in case of “*Damodar S. Prabhu v Sayed Babalal H. 2010(2)*

RCR (Criminal) 851.

On the last date of hearing, it is stated by learned counsel for the complainant-respondent that he has received the entire amount and has no objection if a lenient view is taken in the matter.

In view of the compromise effected between the parties, the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 28.05.2010 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana as well as the judgment 17.04.2012 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana are hereby set aside and the petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him.

As such, the present revision petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 26, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No