

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR 2068-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.10.2017

Sanjay

.....Petitioner

versus

Ashok Kumar and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Lokesh Verma, Advocate for
Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the complainant

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Heard.

It comes out from the last order dated 14.9.2017, that the complainant has stated before this Court that he had received Rs.3,80,000/- from the petitioner and balance of Rs.2,20,000/- received in Court on the said date. Therefore, the entire disputed amount has been received. The petitioner was directed to deposit 15% of the settled amount as per judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in Damodar S. Prabhu vs. Sayed Babalal H., 2010 (5) SCC 663.

Today, the petitioner has produced a copy of the receipt dated 27.9.2017 showing that a sum of Rs.49,500/- has been deposited before the Legal Service Committee of this Court.

Learned counsel for the complainant has no objection if the

petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him. He also does not press his complaint.

In view of the fact that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 18.3.2015/20.3.2015 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sonapat and judgment dated 4.5.2017 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat are hereby set aside and the petitioner stands acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him. His bail bonds and surety bonds are discharged.

The present petition stands allowed.

03.10.2017

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No