

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F) No. 84 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision: 3.4.2017

Dinesh Kumar

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Sheela Gola and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

REKHA MITTAL, J.

The present petition directs challenge against order dated 27.01.2016 passed by the District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak whereby the respondents have been allowed interim maintenance at the rate of Rs.7,000/- per month for the wife and Rs.5,000/- per month for the minor child as an interim measure.

On May 18, 2016 order passed by this Court reads as follows:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner would inform as to whether the petitioner has paid/deposited any amount after passing of the impugned order.

Adjourned to 12.08.2016.”

Thereafter, the case was adjourned on number of occasions for necessary compliance. The order passed by this Court on 20.02.2017, reads thus:-

“Counsel for the petitioner prays for a short accommodation to file the documents as well as to seek instructions if dispute between the parties has been settled by way of compromise.

Last opportunity is provided for necessary compliance.

Adjourned to 03.04.2017.”

Though, counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has made part payment towards arrears of maintenance in terms of order dated 27.01.2016 but he has not given any satisfactory reply with regard to the amount already paid or outstanding arrears much less placing any document on record.

In view of the above, without examining the matter on merits, the present petition is disposed of but without prejudice to rights of the petitioner to raise all available pleas before the trial Court. However, the trial Court shall put its best efforts to dispose of the petition expeditiously.

APRIL 3, 2017
'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no