

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.2036 of 2017
Date of Decision: 30.05.2017**

Harwinder Singh @ Naipar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Kiran Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Achin Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has come up in revision against the judgment dated 27.04.2017 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda, whereby, he has wrongly enhanced the amount of maintenance awarded to the respondent granted by the learned trial Court, is erroneous in law.

A perusal of the order dated 27.04.2017 shows that respondent has filed an application under Section 23 of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act for interim relief and vide order dated 12.01.2015, the learned trial Court granted interim maintenance to the respondent @ Rs.1500/- per month alongwith Rs.500/- as litigation expenses. Thereafter, the respondent filed an appeal before the Court of learned Sessions Judge, Bathinda for enhancement of amount of interim maintenance awarded. Learned appellate Court has enhanced the amount of maintenance from Rs.1500/- to Rs.6,000/- per month on the basis salary slip dated 10.01.2017 of petitioner-Harwinder Singh who is an employee of Punjab Roadway and was getting net salary of Rs.17,310/- at that time, so as to make the respondent capable of living life in a dignified way and also in the manner, in which she was living prior to her separation from her husband.

In view of the above, the enhanced amount is not on the higher side which cannot be paid by the petitioner. Therefore, the present revision is dismissed as such.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

30.05.2017