

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.221

**CRR(F) No.48 of 2016
Date of decision : 27.10.2017**

Rinku

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Vijay

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the main case itself has been decided by learned trial Court.

In view of the above statement, the revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

October 27, 2017
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No