

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR(F)-437-2016
Date of Decision:- 11.01.2017**

Karan Ohri

Monika and another

Versus

....Petitioner

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

**Present: Ms. Rajni, Advocate
for Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate, for the petitioner.**

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has come in revision petition against order dated 28.09.2016, passed by learned District Judge, Family Court, Faridkot, vide which the application of the respondents for ad-interim maintenance was allowed and they have been granted maintenance @ ₹3,000/- per month each.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that on account incident in the matrimonial dispute, the petitioner has suffered fractures on the arm and is unable to earn his livelihood.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, going through the impugned order, this Court is of the considered view that the learned District Judge, Family Court, Faridkot, has rightly assessed the income of the petitioner and passed the impugned order. The plea of actual earning will be decided at the stage of final arguments in the application filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. At this stage, as ground for interference in the impugned order is made out, therefore, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

January 11, 2017
naresh.k

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No