

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR 1950-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.09.2017

Angrej Singh Mistri alias Geju

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Sanchit Punia, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Pawan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
Mr.Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.2/ complainant

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced a copy of the receipt showing that Rs.3900/- has been deposited with the Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Hisar in compliance with order dated 11.8.2017 passed by this Court.

On the last date of hearing i.e. 11.8.2017, learned counsel for the complainant has made a statement, which is also affirmed today that he has received the entire amount, which was compromised/ settled i.e. Rs.26,000/-. He has no objection, if the revision is allowed and judgments of both the Courts below are set aside.

In view of the compromise between the parties, judgment of conviction dated 5.10.2013 and order of sentence dated 8.10.2013, passed

by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hisar as well as judgment dated 15.12.2016, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar, convicting and sentencing the petitioner under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 are set aside and the petitioner stands acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him.

Revision is accordingly allowed.

07.09.2017

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No