

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR 1854-2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 11.10.2017

Rakesh Verma

.....Petitioner

versus

Suresh Kumar Mittal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.L.M.Gulati, Advocate for
Mr.Vikas Cuccria, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Roger Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate for the respondents
Mr.Yashwant Singh Rathore, Public Prosecutor for
UT Chandigarh

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has brought two demand drafts amounting to Rs.14,50,000/-, which have been handed over to learned counsel for the complainant. It is further informed by learned counsel for the petitioner that Rs.20 lakhs were paid earlier by way of 8 demand drafts of Rs.2,50,000/- each which were handed over to learned counsel for the complainant as recorded in the last order dated 24.7.2017 passed by this Court. In this way, against cheque amount of Rs.30 lakhs, a total sum of Rs.34,50,000/- has been paid to the complainant. As such, a sum of Rs.4,50,000/- has been paid over and above the cheque amount, which was issued in the year 2012.

Considering the conduct of the petitioner in repaying the

cheque amount along with the adequate compensation, sentence of the petitioner is hereby reduced to the period already undergone by him. Bail bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner are discharged.

With the above noted modification, the revision petition is dismissed.

11.10.2017

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No