

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-296 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.11.2017

Gurusharan Lal Awasthi

...Petitioner

Versus

Shalini Vashistha

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Abhishek Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

CRM-28519-2016

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, the same is allowed. The delay of 09 days in filing the present petition is hereby condoned.

CRM-27269-70-2017

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

The petitioner/husband has filed the present revision petition under Section 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') against the impugned order dated 31.05.2016, passed by District Judge, Gurgaon, granting maintenance of Rs. 1 lakh to the respondent/wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the Court below erred in granted maintenance @ Rs. 1 lakh to the respondent and has

wrongly assessed the income of the petitioner as Rs. 1.5 lakh in the year 2013-14. The petitioner is still ready and willing to pay Rs. 25,000/- towards maintenance to the respondent/wife.

Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions, states that the offer made by the petitioner is acceptable to the respondent.

Heard.

Keeping in view the consensus reached between the parties, without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is partly allowed and the awarded monthly maintenance of Rs. 1 lakh, by way of order dated 31.05.2016 is reduced to Rs. 25,000/-.

Disposed of.

15.11.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No