

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 1768 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.09.2017

MOHIT**...Petitioner****VS.****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.**

Present: Mr. Sandesh Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner has filed an affidavit to the effect that he has made 15% of the cheque amount i.e. 2,30,000/- which comes to ₹34,500/- with the Punjab Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh in compliance with the order dated 12.05.2017 passed by this Court. The receipt dated 18.07.2017 in this regards is also annexed.

It comes out that the parties had amicably settled the dispute vide compromise deed (Annexure P-6) and the said fact was also reflected in the order dated 12.05.2017 passed by this Court and the entire settled amount has been paid to complainant-respondent No. 2 through Gurdeep Singh, Attorney of complainant-respondent No. 2, who is present in person today in the Court. The receipt of payment was also affirmed by said Gurdeep Singh.

As the matter has been amicably settled, therefore, the judgement dated 03.05.2017 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana and the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 22.04.2016 passed

by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Ludhiana are hereby set aside and the petitioner is acquitted of notice of accusation served upon him. The bail bonds and surety bonds stand discharged.

In view of the above, the revision stands allowed.

September 15, 2017

Suresh Kumar

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	✓ Yes	/	No ✓
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	Yes	/	No