

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR(F) No.200 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 08.02.2017**

Arvind Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Meenu Sharma

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

**Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate
for the respondent.**

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

CRM-18298-2016

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, going through the contents of the application, delay of 208 days in filing the revision petition is condoned.

CRR(F) No.200 of 2016

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the copy of compromise deed effected between the parties on 08.02.2017, which has been duly signed by both the parties.

Respondent-Meenu Sharma, who is present in Court, states that she is satisfied with the terms and conditions of the said compromise.

In view of the fact that the compromise has been arrived between the parties, no further order is required to be passed in this case and accordingly the present revision petition stands disposed of.

February 08, 2017
naresh.k

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No