

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Rev. (F) No. 13 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.01.2017

Amit

... Petitioner

versus

Bhawna

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. S.K. Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate for the respondent.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 25.08.2014, ex-parte order of maintenance was passed against the present petitioner and that respondent-wife and daughter has been awarded maintenance of ₹ 6,000/- per month each. Counsel for the respondent states that petitioner is working as a draftsman and earning ₹ 30,000/- per month.

After this order was passed, an application for modification of this order was made and this application was dismissed on the ground that the appropriate remedy before the petitioner was to file a revision against the order impugned dated 25.08.2014 and an application for modification of the order was not maintainable as he has been duly served and there is no change in the address.

However, in the revision petition before this court counsel for the petitioner has placed on record P-4 to show that he has been appointed as house keeping supervisor and he is getting consolidated salary of ₹7,500/- per month and has also placed on record rent agreement to show

that he is making payment of Rs. 2,000/- per month as rent. Keeping in view the Annexures P/4 and P/5, the order dated 21.11.2015 is being set aside and the matter is remanded back to the trial Court to decide it afresh after considering Annexures P/4 and P/5.

The revision petition is disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

13.01.2017

kv

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No