

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 1321 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.08.2017

JUJ KUMAR

...Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The explanation of Ms. Ravi Inder Kaur, Civil Judge (Senior Division), Amritsar formerly posted as Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ferozepur has been received wherein she has submitted that imposing of the sentence less than minimum prescribed in Criminal Case No. 123-2 of 2013 titled as “*State Vs. Juj Kumar*” decided on 15.09.2015, was due to inadvertence and bonafide mistake on her behalf. She has further undertaken that she will be vigilant and careful in future.

In the circumstances, she is advised to remain more careful and vigilant in future in imposing the sentence. A copy of this order be communicated to her.

In view of the explanation of the aforesaid Judicial Officer, matter qua her is accordingly dropped.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that since, the petitioner has already undergone the sentence awarded by the Courts below, therefore, he may be allowed to withdraw the present revision petition.

In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the petitioner,
the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

August 25, 2017
Suresh Kumar

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	✓ Yes / No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	Yes / ✓ No