

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1501 of 2012
Date of decision:16.03.2017**

Didar Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. J.R.Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Lalit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl.A.G.Punjab.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the impugned orders dated 16.10.1981 (Annexure P-2) and 27.01.1982 (Annexure P-3), whereby, the Collector on the basis of order of remand dated 19.08.1981 passed by the Commissioner assessed the surplus land at the hands of petitioner to the extent of land measuring 42 kanals 6 marlas.

The aforementioned orders are appealable before the Commissioner as per the provisions of the Punjab Utilization of Surplus Area Scheme 1973.

Faced with this situation, Mr. J.R.Mittal, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Lalit Sharma, Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that his client would prefer an appeal under the aforementioned provisions of Scheme before the Commissioner provided

that period spent in this Court may be condoned.

In view of the aforementioned, the writ petition stands disposed of. In case, such appeal is filed against the impugned orders dated 16.10.1981 (Annexure P-2) and 27.01.1982 (Annexure P-3) within a period of one month from today, period spent in this Court and Civil Court shall be deemed to be condoned as per the provisions of Section 14 of the Limitation Act. The appeal shall be decided within a period of three months thereafter.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 16, 2017
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No