

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRR No.1199 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:30.3.2017

Sunil @ Sonu

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Ajay Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The learned trial Court having closed the defence evidence pursuant to the order dated 13.1.2017 passed by this Court, directing it to grant short adjournments of not more than one or two weeks and to conclude the defence evidence within two months, and the petitioner as an accused not having tendered the documents that are now sought to be tendered, with his counsel having given up DWs on the date of the impugned order, i.e. 14.3.2017, (pursuant to which defence evidence was closed), I see no reason to entertain this petition, firstly for the reason that obviously sufficient time was available with the petitioner to tender the documents, which he did not, and secondly, it would amount to overriding the order of a coordinate Bench passed in CRM No.M-46092 of 2016.

Consequently, finding no merit in the appeal, it is dismissed.

30.3.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No