

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17469 of 2011

Date of Decision:30.08.2017

Birender Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Industrial Tribunal and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Ms. Rimple Kadyan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. J.S. Bedi, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

On 24.07.2017, following order was passed:

“Perusal of the award it is evident that petitioner has worked only for 10 days in the year 1991. Thus, the petitioner has not made out a case.

In order to give one opportunity, list this matter on 3.8.2017.”

On 3.8.2017, matter was adjourned at the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner insisted for summoning of LCR. Question of summoning LCR arises only if there is a disputed factual aspect with reference to the award passed by the Labour Court. Petitioner failed to pointed out what is error committed by the Labour Court. That apart, Labour Court has recorded that petitioner has worked only for 10 days in the year 1991. Petitioner had ample opportunity before the Labour Court by producing necessary document or summoning the records by making necessary application. The same has not been availed.

In view of these facts and circumstances, question of summoning LCR do not arise.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

30.08.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No