

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

--

Crl. Revision No. 4840 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.01.2017

Preeti Beniwal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Chouhan Satvinder Singh Sisodia, Advocate
for the petitioner

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition directs challenge against order dated 04.10.2016 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Gurgaon whereby application seeking permission for recording evidence of the complainant through Video Conferencing has been dismissed.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner being the complainant in the case is a material witness to be examined and a serious prejudice would be caused to the complainant (petitioner herein) in case she is not examined as a witness. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to come back to India for recording her statement but she may be given time upto first week of February 2017 to enable her to come back to India and recording her testimony. It is submitted that the case is now fixed for 13.01.2017 for evidence of the prosecution.

In view of submissions made by counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition stands disposed of with directions that the trial Court shall keep the proceedings alive for evidence of the prosecution till first week of February 2017 in order to enable the petitioner to come back to India for recording her statement as a prosecution witness, in accordance with law.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

10.01.2017

mohan bimbura

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No