

215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 481 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 1.8.2017

Lovepreet Singh Revisionist
Versus
State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. D.P.S. Randhawa, Advocate, for revisionist.
Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior DAG Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Revisionist stood guarantor for 26 purchasers and issued a cheque bearing No. 0081941 dated 24.8.2004 for Rs. 1,80,000/- as a guarantor. The said cheque, when presented to the bank, was returned with the remarks 'Exceed Funds'.

The perusal of judgments of both the Courts below show that the complainant produced the account books as well as mentioned the names of the persons to whom the electronic goods were supplied. Present revisionist had stood as a guarantor for the repayment. However, since the payment was not made by said purchasers, therefore, cheque in question issued in favour of the complainant firm, is in discharge of legal liability. The guarantee deed was also produced. There is no illegality or infirmity in the findings recorded by both the Courts below. Hence, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.8.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No