

244

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No.4785 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 23, 2017

Gurmukh Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. R.S. Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

CRM No.40453 of 2016

Heard.

Application is allowed for the reasons stated therein. Delay of 217 days in filing the revision petition, is condoned.

CRR No.4785 of 2016 (O&M)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

After the conviction in FIR No.128 dated 12.11.2012, under Sections 307, 336, 506, 186 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms act, 1959, registered at Police Station Bahav Wala, petitioner has filed appeal before this Court which is pending and admitted. After his conviction, he filed application before the trial Court regarding release of his gun and some other articles on *supardari*.

In my opinion, it is only the appellate Court ceased of the matter which ought to have been approached for the relief that was claimed before the trial Court.

In that view of the matter, petition is disposed of with liberty in favour of the petitioner to make such application in the pending appeal before this Court.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 23, 2017
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No