

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-4758-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 15, 2017.

Sant Gurmeet Ram Rahim Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

CBI, CHD.

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Shweta Nahata, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Sumeet Goel, Advocate for respondent CBI.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

CRR-4758-2016 arises out of the impugned order dated 9.12.2016 passed by the trial Judge by which the application for summoning Inspector Sat Narain, Assistant Investigating Officer to Shri S.S. Sandhu, DSP, has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the CBI makes a categorical statement supported by the death certificate of Inspector Sat Narain that he has since expired and the petition has been rendered infructuous. Statement is accepted since it is accompanied by death certificate.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the order made by the trial Judge contains several reasons and since he proposes to make one more application with the trial court, reasons recorded by the trial Judge may come in his way even for his next prayer relating to some other officer. In my opinion, no one can prevent the petitioner from going on filing the applications and therefore there is no good ground in law for doing so.

That apart, the reasons/observations made by the trial court in the impugned order shall be treated to have been made only in relation to the application qua Inspector Sat Narain and no body else. Trial court is therefore free to take decisions according to law.

Needless to say that the findings regarding Inspector Sat Narain and observations made in the impugned order shall not come in the way of the petitioner in relation to any other officer.

Disposed of.

Interim order dated 21.12.2016 stands vacated.

March 15, 2017.

kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No