

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CRR(F)No. 84 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.05.2017

Ram Narain

...Petitioner

Vs.

Manisha and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Advocate for the respondents.

RITU BAHRI J.(ORAL)
CRM No. 11397 of 2015

This is an application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 168 days in filing the revision petition.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the delay of 168 days in filing the revision petition is condoned.

Application is accordingly disposed of.

CRR(F) No. 84 of 2015

The present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 22.07.2014 passed by the District Judge (Family Court), Sonipat whereby interim maintenance of ₹ 3,000/- per month has been allowed in favour of wife-Manisha and minor son-Dev.

The parties were directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre. However, Mediation, vide order dated 13.01.2017, has failed between the parties, as per report dated 06.04.2017 made by the mediator.

Heard, learned counsel for the parties.

Having examined the impugned order, this Court is of the view that maintenance has been rightly awarded by the trial Court.

Keeping in view financial status of both the parties and the same is not on the higher side.

Hence no ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

May 08, 2017
Poonam (II)

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No