

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.W.P.No.18457 of 2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 08, 2017

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Rakesh Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajbir Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for the State.

Mr.Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Ajaivir Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

AMIT RAWAL, J.(Oral)

As per the report of the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, the parties to the lis have compromised the matter and placed on record site plan Annexure C-1.

Mr.Rakesh Chopra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Kanwaljit Singh, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr.Ajaivir Singh, on instructions from representing No.4, submit that the parties have arrived at a settlement and shall be bound by the same. Both the parties have mutually agreed to make request to this Court to set-aside the partition proceedings and remand the matter back to the Assistant Collector. As per the compromise, the second party would not make any claim of any sort either movable or immovable from the first party, in any manner or from 7 kanals 15 marlas and 2 biswas (3.33 marlas) in future and similarly the first

party will also not make any claim of any sort either movable or immovable from the second party.

They also submit that the Assistant Collector may be directed to record the partition proceedings in the revenue record in accordance with law.

As a necessary consequence, much less terms of the compromise, the parties are at liberty to move an appropriate application before the Assistant Collector concerned for execution of the compromise.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

May 08, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No