

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**Crl. Rev. (F) No. 329 of 2015
Date of Decision: 14.03.2017**

Manpreet Kaur & Anr.

...Petitioners

Vs.

Balwinder Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. R.K.Saini, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S.Mamli, Advocate, for the respondent.

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the present petition seeking enhancement of maintenance allowed by the District Judge, Family Court, Ambala, in proceedings under Section 127 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short 'Cr.P.C'), vide order dated 15.09.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he does not press the petition qua petitioner No.2 as he has already attained the age of majority. Accordingly, the petition filed by petitioner No.2, namely, Balwant Singh is ordered to be dismissed as not pressed.

With regard to claim of Manpreet Kaur, petitioner No.1, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the maintenance assessed by the Family Court may be enhanced to ₹2200 per month from the date of filing of the petition under Section 127 Cr.P.C.

In view of the above the petition stands disposed of. Order passed by the Family Court qua petitioner No.1 is modified to the extent that

petitioner No.1 shall be entitled to maintenance @ ₹2200/- per month from the date of filing of the petition i.e. 30.03.2013.

March 14, 2017
tripti

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned – Yes/No
Whether reportable – Yes/No