

CRM-M-9987-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9987-2017

Date of Decision: 19.09.2017

Virender @ Sonu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Virender @ Sonu has filed this 2nd petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.98 dated 02.03.2014, registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak, under Sections 201, 392, 216 and 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Learned State counsel argued that trial is almost complete and case is fixed for recording the statements of accused under Section 313 Cr.P.C.

CRM-M-9987-2017

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the facts that trial is almost complete and case is fixed for recording the statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C., I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

However, as the petitioner has been in custody since 11.03.2014, trial Court is directed to expedite the trial by giving short adjournments or even by adjourning the case on day to day basis, if necessary.

19.09.2017

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No