

CRM-M-9929-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9929-2017

Date of Decision: 23.03.2017

Satnam Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICEINDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. P.S.Hundal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Jashandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Satnam Singh has filed this 2nd petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.93 dated 31.10.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Moga, under Sections 307, 341 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that earlier petition for anticipatory bail of the petitioner was dismissed on merits by this Court vide order dated 23.12.2016. In that order, it was held that the petitioner is not entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the facts that he is required for custodial interrogation and a pistol is yet to be recovered.

CRM-M-9929-2017

As per the FIR, the present petitioner has been named and stated to be armed with pistol. He fired from the pistol and caused injuries to the complainant. He also fired second shot which hit on the back near left shoulder of Amandeep Singh, friend of the complainant.

Keeping in view the facts that the present petitioner has been named in the FIR and stated to be armed with deadly weapon; he has played active role in the commission of the offence and caused injuries with fire-arm weapon and there being no material change in circumstances for grant of anticipatory bail, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

23.03.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No