

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9911-2017

Date of Decision : 19.04.2017

Omkar & another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, JUDGE (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Giriraj, submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, allegedly he is not cooperating.

Be that as it may, petitioner no.2, i.e. Kapil, had been admitted to interim bail by this Court essentially for the reason that he was stated to be appearing for the secondary school educational examination (though his age is shown to be 19 years). The said examination being now over on 17.04.2017, as per the learned State counsel, and seeing the nature of the allegations against the petitioners, I see no reason why the interim order should continue in his favour.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed and the interim order dated 23.03.2017 is vacated.

However, it is made clear that nothing stated by this Court in any order passed in this petition would be taken to be an observation on the merits of the case, which would obviously be gone into by the investigating agency, and the trial Court if it comes to that stage.

Learned counsel for the complainant having expressed a threat to the life and liberty of the complainant, the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram, is directed to ensure that the life and liberty of the complainant and his family is duly protected.

Learned counsel for the State submits that two police personnel have already been deputed for the purpose.

The said security and any additional security as is felt necessary by the Commissioner, would obviously be ensured in future also.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

April 19, 2017
vinay/rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No