

**104+210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.29796 of 2017 in/and
CRR No.4451 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 22, 2017**

Dharam Pal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sarvotam Leasing and Real Estate Ltd.

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.S. Khandola, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Ranghi, Advocate for the respondent-complainant.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Today, learned counsel for the respondent-complainant has appeared and has affirmed that a sum of ₹2,35,000/- has been paid to the respondent-complainant and his claim has been satisfied and he has no objection, if the sentence of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him.

In view of the matter, the sentence of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him. He be released forthwith, if not required in any other case.

With the abovenoted modification in the quantum, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 22, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No