

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of decision:10.5.2017

Narinder Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Amandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr.Rajesh Mehta, Addl.AG, Punjab
Mr.G.P.S.Bal, Advocate,
for respondent no.4.
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 27.4.2017, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, is present in Court and has reiterated what has been stated in his affidavit, to the effect that SI Bhupinder Singh did not interfere with the possession of the petitioner, except to the extent that a complaint was stated to have been lodged by Harpal Kaur, stating therein that the petitioner was interfering in the possession of her house which is constructed on the fields.

The aforesaid SI is stated to have issued summons to Harpal Kaur and male members of the house to appear before him to investigate the matter, but when they did not appear, he himself visited the house of the complainant, upon which she stated that she was no longer in danger of eviction from the house.

The SSP has assured that the police is not interfering in the

matter regarding the fields under cultivation of the petitioner and would not do so, the matter being sub-judice before the civil Court.

The aforesaid statement having been made by the SSP, Khanna, and the matter, admittedly, being sub-judice even as per learned counsel for respondent no.4, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with the SSP held bound to his statement and a direction also issued by this Court that the police would not attempt to evict any person from the land in question, except on orders passed by due process of law.

10.5.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No