

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9812 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.05.2017

Jaspreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate for
Ms. Naina Issar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.16 dated 27.2.2017, registered under Sections 447, 511, 506, 379, 148 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda.

On 23.03.2017, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel contends that there is delay of 05 days in lodging of the FIR as the occurrence took place on 22.02.2017 whereas the FIR was registered on 27.02.2017. It is a case of no injury. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been falsely roped in the present FIR being a relative of co-accused, namely Tota Singh. The similarly placed person, namely, Kuldeep

Singh has been admitted to interim bail by this Court vide order dated 16.03.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 08.05.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of order dated 23.03.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 23.03.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

08.05.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No