

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRM-M-9772 of 2017

Date of decision: 17.04.2017

Neha and another

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 4 to 10.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for respondents No. 4 to 10 has filed Vakalatnama as also the affidavits of these respondents and undertakes to supply copies thereof to the counsel for the petitioners, wherein it has been averred that they have never interfered with the life and liberty of the petitioners and shall not interfere in the life and liberty of the petitioners in any manner. It has further been stated that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners as alleged.

In view of the affidavits, which have been filed by respondents

No. 4 to 10, against whom a complaint has been sought to be projected that

the petitioners were threatened by them, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from ASI Santokh Singh, states that the statement of the respondents has been recorded, according to which, they have never threatened nor interfered in the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, an apprehension has been pointed out in their statement that they being Government employees may not be harassed at the hands of the petitioners because of the filing of the present petition.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have never harassed the respondents nor they will do so.

As regards respondent No. 11, the learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, further points out that there is no person by the name Rmesh Kumar son of Hari Ram residing in Rajiv Gandhi Nagar, Tehsil and District Moga, Punjab, therefore, there is no question of any harassment at his behest or at his hands.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous. Parties will be bound by their statement.

April 17, 2017
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No