

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M- 9699 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 21, 2017**

Ratna and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Manoj K.Tanwar, Advocate.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

This petition is for seeking protection of life and liberty of petitioners, who alleged to have married against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 9, father and other relatives of petitioner No. 1.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has limited his prayer for taking appropriate action in accordance with law on the representation dated 15.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) submitted by petitioners to Superintendent of Police, Mohindergarh at Narnaul. It has been stated that both the petitioners are major. The date of birth of petitioner No.1- Ratna is 16.12.1997 as per copy of Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-1) and that of petitioner No.2- Sandeep Kumar is 20.10.1992 as per copy of Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-2). They got married on 15.03.2017. Photographs of marriage are Annexure P-3.

In view of the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners, but without expressing any opinion on the validity of marriage of petitioners, petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2-

Superintendent of Police, Mohindergarh at Narnaul to look into the

representation dated 15.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, on receipt of copy of this order along with representation in his office.

However, it is made clear that this order will have no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of the petitioners or on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against them.

March 21, 2017.
Jyoti-II

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No