

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-9674 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.05.2017

Raghunandan God & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harpreet K. Athwal, D.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case F.I.R. No.35 dated 5.5.2016 under sections 307, 382, 341, 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 I.P.C, registered at Police Station, City Raikot, District Ludhiana.

On 6.4.2017, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“During the course of arguments, it has gone uncontroverted that the injury attracting offence under Section 307 IPC is attributed not to the present petitioners but to Ranjit Kumar/non-applicant.

List on 16.05.2017.

In the meanwhile, petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and they shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Gulab Singh would apprise the Court that the petitioners have since joined investigation. That apart, there is no rebuttal to the fact that the injury attracting offence under Section 307 I.P.C is attributed to Ranjit Kumar, co-accused/non-applicant.

In the considered view of this Court, custodial interrogation of the petitioners, as such, would not be warranted. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 6.4.2017, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

16.05.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No