

CRM-M-9654-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9654-2017

Date of Decision:21.09.2017

Shubham Bajaj and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jatinder Nagpal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioners-Shubham Bajaj and Sunil Bajaj have filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.158 dated 28.02.2017, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, District Panipat, under Sections 420, 406, 323, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested the instant petition.

Complainant also appeared through his counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned

State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-9654-2017

From the record, I find that in pursuance of the interim order dated 21.03.2017 passed by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Moreover, parties have already effected compromise and a quashing petition on the basis of the same has already been filed.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and in view of the fact that compromise has already been effected between the parties, I find that no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody. Therefore, finding merit in the present petition, the same is allowed. The order dated 21.03.2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

21.09.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No