

**CRM-M-9652-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-9652-2017**

**Date of Decision: 13.07.2017**

Pardeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate for  
Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate for  
the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Satinder Kaur, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Petitioner-Pardeep Singh has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.98 dated 15.10.2016, registered at Police Station Mehna, District Moga, under Sections 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

**CRM-M-9652-2017**

From the record, I find that as per the allegations, the present petitioner was working as Salesman with the complainant. He collected big amount from the purchasers/customers, but he did not hand over the same to the complainant. It is mentioned in the FIR that the present petitioner has embezzled the amount of ₹7.50 lakhs of the complainant and cheated him.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, I find that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

**13.07.2017**

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE**

|              |                           |   |     |
|--------------|---------------------------|---|-----|
| <b>Note:</b> | Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes |
|              | Whether reportable        | : | No  |