

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-9603 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 21, 2017

Jabbar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Arshad, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate, for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was earlier on bail but subsequently, on an offence punishable under Section 307 IPC having been added, his bail and surety bonds were forfeited.

He submits that it is also a case of a free fight between two parties and a cross version to the FIR has also been registered.

He further submits that the injury attributed to the petitioner on the head of one Jannishta, a 12 years old girl, could have also been attributed to Tofiq.

Having considered the aforesaid fact, even if, *prima facie*, the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner with regard to an injury on the head of the girl not being one dangerous to life were to be accepted, the very fact that the petitioner hit a 12 year old girl on her head and at least inflicted a sharp edged weapon injury on her, I see no reason to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

March 21, 2017

vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)

Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: yes

Whether reportable: no